CHARLESTOWNE ACADEMY 5841 Rivers Avenue North Charleston, SC 29406 K-12 High School GRADES 367 Students ENROLLMENT **Edward Tichi** 843-746-1349 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria L. Goodloe 843-937-6319 Ms. Nancy Cook 843-760-2635 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 8 8 3 2 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 5 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2002	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Good	Excellent	Yes
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	87.5	N/A	N/A	71.0	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	12.5	N/A	N/A	14.9	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	19.1	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Percent	100.0%	95.1%	

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	7.1	6.4
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	14.3	6.8
Seniors who met the grade point average	35.7	40.7

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Number of Students	16	131	
Number of Diplomas	13	95	
Rate	81.3%	74.7%	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		•	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	15	100.0	14	7.1	16	81.3	YES	
Gender								
Male	6	100.0	5	0.0	6	83.3	N/A	
Female	9	100.0	9	11.1	10	80.0	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	7	100.0	4	I/S	5	80.0	N/A	
African-American	8	100.0	10	0.0	11	81.8		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	13	100.0	13	7.7	15	80.0	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	2	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	15	100.0	14	7.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	15	100.0	14	7.1	12	83.3	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	5	100.0	3	I/S	5	60.0	N/A	
Full-pay meals	10	100.0	11	9.1	11	90.9	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE		_	ш,ш			,_	,_		L,
	Enrollment 1st	۶/ ۰	% Below Basis)	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation O
	g di	" resting % Tested	M B	% Basic	, l ⁱ cie	anc la	jej /	2 E	jje M
		5 / %	Selo	%	1 %	Ag.		erfor	afic
	^{II}	/	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % A	ª 8	/ ^a c
Engl	/ ish/Langua	/ ge Arts - S			/ Objective	/			
All Students	8	100.0	N/A	37.5	12.5	50.0	75.0	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
African-American	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Disabled	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Full-pay meals	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati		Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	8	100.0	12.5	37.5	25.0	N/A	62.5	YES	YE:
Gender									
Male	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
African-American	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Full-pay meals	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Charlestowne Academy				1001100
				1001100
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 367)				
Retention rate	1.7%	N/A	9.4%	9.1%
Attendance rate	99.6%	Up from 95.0%	95.9%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	20.1%	Up from 12.3%	4.3%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	5.6%	Down from 6.0%	13.4%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.9% 0.5%	Down from 14.1% Up from 0.0%	11.6% 1.1%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	15.6%	Up from 8.1%	6.3%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV		43.9%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	2.7%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	5.9%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	35	Down from 81	396	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	8.0%	Down from 28.3%	25.1%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	85.7%	Up from 73.3%	77.7%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	99.3%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Down from 51.9%	47.1%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	73.1%	Down from 77.8%	79.4%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	85.0% 9.5%	N/A	90.0% 10.0%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	72.4%	Up from 71.3%	82.2%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	97.0%	Up from 94.0%	95.4%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$38,330	Down 0.8%	\$39,497	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	10.6 days	Up from 7.5 days	10.8 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.5 to 1	Down from 24.1 to 1	23.4 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	94.7%	Up from 87.9% Down 6.8%	89.6%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$4,774		\$6,635	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	64.3%	Down from 65.1%	58.1%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	94.3%	Down from 99.0%	85.4%	89.3% Van
SACS accreditation	Yes	Up from No	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good
liable medified to about in large of		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		88.1%		0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	87.8%		1%	
High and God to a to the control of	+	State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*		65.0%	Y	es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Charlestowne Academy is the only public, magnet school in Charleston County that serves students from kindergarten through twelfth grade. Our school, which was founded by parents in 1997, is the only school in South Carolina that is based on a proactive parent-teacher-student partnership. When parents enroll their child in our school, they agree to be involved in their child's education at home and at school. For example, parents willingly spend many hours closely monitoring homework and volunteering their time assisting school personnel with many educational activities. Through a wide variety of donated expertise our parents have invested their heads, hands and hearts in creating a school environment that welcomes students and motivates them to learn.

Our 2003-2004 enrollment was 375 students. Our enrollment is based on a random lottery selection system resulting in a student body with varied backgrounds and abilities. Due to the strength of our back-to-basics curriculum, we have taken our broad mix of students during our seven years of existence to the point where our standardized test results place our school among the best performing schools in Charleston County.

In December 2003 our school received its first ever accreditation from The Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. We were honored for the second time in three years by The State Department of Education as a Palmetto Gold Award winning school. Our school's three report cards have been average or better for the third year in a row.

Our high school students' SAT scores during the 2002-2003 school year went up by an average of 85 points over the previous year. Charlestowne Academy High School was among the nine high schools out of 181 high schools in South Carolina to meet AYP standards during the 2002-2003 school year.

Our school's average daily attendance for the 2003-2004 school year was almost 99.5%, placing us among the top five schools out of 72 schools in Charleston County. Our unique reading program, Spalding ELA, has provided us with the ability to consistently teach all of our kindergarten students how to read and write.

During the 2004-2005 school year the Charleston County School District's Hearing Impaired Program will relocate to our campus. Hearing impaired students will benefit greatly from their ability to remain together throughout their thirteen years of public school education.

Our business partners to date have included Publix, Harris Teeter, Food Lion, Bi Lo, Ravenswood Plantation, Stockade Storage, Hess #40609, Bojangles, Coke Consolidated, Lowes, Piggly Wiggly, Buck Lumber, and Carolina Family Care. With their help and the ongoing support of every family in the school, we will continue to demonstrate the phenomenal success of our back-to-basics curriculum and our parent-teacher-student partnership.

Edward Tichi, Principal Bonnie Smith, Governing Council President

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	16	24	37				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	57.9%	70.3%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	81.3%	31.6%	72.2%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	93.3%	57.9%	70.3%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.				